

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

JUL 02 2008

BILAL AHDOM,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

GEORGE GALAZA,

Respondent - Appellee.

No. 06-56447

D.C. No. CV-05-00863-SVW

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Stephen V. Wilson, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 18, 2008<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: REINHARDT, LEAVY, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Bilal Ahdom appeals from the district court's order dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition as untimely. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2253, and we affirm.

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Ahdom contends he is entitled to statutory tolling of the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act's one-year statute of limitations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2). However, because the five-month delay between the filing of Ahdom's first and second state post-conviction petitions, and the five-month delay between the filing of Ahdom's second and third state post-conviction petitions, were each unreasonable, we conclude that he is not entitled to statutory tolling for these periods. *See Evans v. Chavis*, 546 U.S. 189, 200-01 (2006).

**AFFIRMED.**